

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/938,941	08/24/2001	Robin Thurmond	ORT-1489 2660		
7590 04/12/2005			EXAMINER		
Philip S. Johnson, Esq			GABEL, GAILENE		
Johnson & Johnson One Johnson & Johnson Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003			1641		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/938,941	THURMOND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gailene R. Gabel	1641		

Defense the Filippe of an Appeal Drief				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Gailene R. Gabel	1641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:         <ul> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aba an amendment, affidavit, or other beal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mudate of the final rejection. dissory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	ndonment of this app evidence, which plac e with 37 CFR 41.31; st be filed within one e final rejection, whichev	es the ; or (3) a of the following	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F ). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee.	RST REPLY WAS FILE  a) and the appropriate ext  The appropriate extension	ension fee have on fee under 37	
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened standard above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) yreduce any	
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Approximate Street on 24 March 2005. A brief in compliance with Street Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed via AMENDMENTS.	37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid d	two months of the da ismissal of the appea	ite of filing the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);		
<ul> <li>(c)  They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. (See 37 CFR 1.1</li> </ul>	corresponding number of finally re		, the issues for	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling	
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 2-4. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.</li> </ol>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by the prior art of record has not been fully considered for			ance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
13. Other: Christopher PRIMARY EXA	L. Chin An Ca	ne R. Bab 15/05	$\mathcal{P}$	
GROUP 1	BAA-1641 4	11 /8]		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 2 was amended to require that the presence of p10li fragment represents a block in degradation of the invariant chain from inhibition by cathepsin S inhibitor administered in vivo"; hence, raising new issues that would require further consideration and search under the provisions of 35 USC 102 or 103.